## A Novel Hydrocarbon, 8,10-Dimethylidenetricyclo[7.1.1.0 $^{2,7}$ ]undeca-2,4,6-triene: Synthesis of Benzopinane Skeleton *via* Di- $\pi$ -methane Rearrangement of Benzonorbornadiene System

by Aliye Altundaşa), Nihat Akbuluta)\*, and Metin Balcıb)\*

<sup>a</sup>) Department of Chemistry, Atatürk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey

b) Department of Chemistry, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey

Dedicated to Professor Özer Bekaroğlu on the occasion of his 65th birthday

The [4+2] cycloadduct 17 of 2,3-dimethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene and 4-phenyl-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-dione (PTAD) was subjected to a triplet-sensitized di- $\pi$ -methane rearrangement. Hydrolysis of the resulting urazol 18 gave the hydrocarbon 7. Hydrolysis of 18 at lower base concentrations led to isomeric stable semicarbazides 24 and 25, which were submitted NiO<sub>2</sub> or MnO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, to give the target compound 7, and oxidation products 26 and 27.

**Introduction.** – Monoterpenes possessing the pinane skeleton 1 occur in the wood and leaf oil of many higher plants and can be readily converted into numerous non-natural bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane derivatives [1].  $\alpha$ -Pinene (2) and  $\beta$ -pinene (3) are the parents of most synthetic pinane derivatives, the former being the most widely distributed natural monoterpene, and both occur as major components of turpentine oil [2][3]. The bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane nucleus is shared in common by the pinene monoterpenes, the bergamotene sesquiterpenes, and highly oxygenated relatives such as paeoniflorin [4].

The total synthesis of  $\alpha$ -pinene has been carried out starting from ethyl pinonate 4 [5]. Thomas and Fallis [6] reported a direct total synthesis of racemic  $\alpha$ -pinene and

1 pinane



2 α-pinene

3 β-pinene

 $\beta$ -pinene in which a key step was the intramolecular alkylation of a cyclohexanone derivative 5 to give the required bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane ring system.

Several possible synthetic routes to this interesting natural-product skeleton may be envisaged. These include rearrangement of a related bicyclic systems [7], ring contraction of a suitable diazo ketone [8], or photocyclization of a suitable diene or triene [9]. We wished to develop a general route to the bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane ring which could be used for pinane-skeleton synthesis in the first instance. Especially, we were interested in the synthesis of the compond 6 and its benzo analogue 7.

Results and Discussion. – Our approach to the synthesis of  $\mathbf{6}$  is shown in *Scheme 1*. The connection of the tertiary C-atoms of the exocyclic methylidene groups in  $\mathbf{6}$  leads to the cyclopropyldicarbinyl diradical  $\mathbf{8}$ . It has been shown that this diradical can be efficiently generated by the hydrolysis and oxidation of the corresponding urazols  $\mathbf{9}$  [10]. The urazol  $\mathbf{9}$  could be synthesized starting from the norbornadiene derivative  $\mathbf{10}$  via the di- $\pi$ -methane rearrangement [11].

For this purpose, the structurally simpler 2,3-dimethylidenebicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene (11) [12] was studied first. When 11 was allowed to react with 4-phenyl-4H-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (PTAD) at ambient temperature in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, the [2+4] cycloadduct 12 was obtained in 85% yield (*Scheme 2*).

Although we were aware of the fact that  $di-\pi$ -methane rearrangement in norbornadiene is suppressed in favor of the intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition [13], we have studied the photolysis of 12. This norbornadiene derivative 12 gave quadricyclene 14 on either

direct or sensitized irradiation in solution. After this unsuccessful attempt, we have turned our attention to the synthesis of the corresponding benzo derivative 7.

2,3-Dimethylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,4-methanonaphthalene (16) was prepared as reported recently in the literature [14]. Pd-Catalyzed methoxycarbonylation of benzonor-bornadiene 15 gave the corresponding dicarboxylate which was transformed, in three steps, to 16 (*Scheme 3*). Treatment of 16 with PTAD at ambient temperature in CHCl<sub>3</sub> gave, in quantitative yield, the urazole 17, which was characterized by means of NMR spectra. The structure elucidation was straightforward in view of its  $C_s$  symmetry. Photochemical reaction of 17 conducted in acetone at room temperature 1) led to a single product. Isomerization was very rapid, high-yield conversion to the pentacyclo[5.4.0<sup>2,4</sup>.0<sup>3,6</sup>] system 18 being complete within 2h.

The aliphatic region of the 200-MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the photo product **18** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> displays eight distinct regions which uniquely characterize each relevant H-atom (Fig.). That the triazole moiety must be positioned at C(2) and C(8) follows unequivocally from the extensive double-resonance experiments. Both  $CH_2N$  protons give rise to two distinct AB systems with the coupling constants 12.8 and 11.9 Hz, respectively. The  $H_{endo}$ –C(17) atom, which is heavily shielded because of its proximity to the arene  $\pi$  cloud, appears at 0.88 ppm as d (9.4 Hz) due to its large spin interaction with  $H_{exo}$ –C(17).  $H_{exo}$ –C(17) resonates at 2.85 ppm as ddd. Bridgehead atom H–C(10) gives rise to a dd (J = 7.4 and 2.5 Hz) due to the coupling with  $H_{exo}$ –C(18) and cyclopropane H–C(17) as a result of their W-arrangement. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum also confirms the proposed structure.

The thermal and photochemical extrusion of  $N_2$  from appropriate azo alkanes has served as a particularly convenient and effective method for the generation of authentic diradicals which have been postulated in photo-rearrangements [15].

<sup>1)</sup> The apparatus consisted of a 254-nm Hg arc lamp surrounded by a water-cooled quarz immersion vessel.




Figure. 200-MHz 1H-NMR Spectra of urazol 18 and hydrocarbon 7

Hydrolysis of 18 and subsequent loss of  $N_2$  were successfully realized by initial saponifacation with 20% KOH in i-PrOH (100°, 15 h), acidification to pH 3 with 0.1n HCl (0°, 5 min), and oxidation with CuCl<sub>2</sub>. After chromatography, we isolated the hydrocarbon 7 as the sole product in 26% yield (*Scheme 4*). The structure of 7 has been elucidated on the basis of its  $^1$ H- (200 MHz) and  $^1$ 3C-NMR, and by NOE measurements.


The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (Fig.) of 7 contains four s at 5.40, 4.99, 4.67, and 4.61 ppm attributed to the exocyclic olefinic H-atoms. NOE Experiments have revealed that s resonating at lower fields (5.40 and 4.99) belong to  $H_{cis}$ -C(13) and  $H_{trans}$ -C(13) because of the proximity to the benzene ring. The atoms H-C(1) and H-C(9)

appear at 3.69 and 3.77 ppm as an AB system. This assignment was established unambiguously from the HETCOR spectrum. Both parts of the AB systems show further coupling with one of the  $CH_2(11)$  atoms (J = 5.7 Hz). There is no further measurable coupling between  $H_{endo}$ —C(11), and H—C(1) and C(9) due to nearly 90° dihedral angles between  $H_{endo}$ —C(11), and C(9) and C(9) has the expected value [16] and indicates clearly the presence of a strained cyclobutyl ring. Furthermore, 13-line C(9) has the expected value [16] and indicates clearly the presence of a strained cyclobutyl ring.

7

From a mechanistic viewpoint, the formation of the hydrocarbon 7 is the result of hydrolysis of the urazol ring in 18 followed by dehydrogenation to give the labile diazo compound 20, which, in turn, can easily undergo denitrogenation reaction under the given reaction conditions. The resulting diradical easily leads to the final product 7 by undergoing a *Grob*-type fragmentation reaction.

Zimmerman et al. [15] have studied the thermal and photochemical behavior of the compound 22. The azo compound 22 was found to lose easily  $N_2$  at  $50-80^\circ$ . The reaction proceeded essentially quantitatively to afford barrelene 23 (Scheme 5). In the case of 20, the reaction follows a similar mechanism and gives the fragmentation product 7. The fact that this reaction proceeds at lower temperature can be attributed to the highly strained C-skeleton of 20 which can release some part of the strain energy upon dinitrogenation.



However, when the hydrolysis of 18 was run at lower base concentrations (ca. 10%), we isolated mainly the partial-hydrolysis [17] products 24 and 25 beside the hydrocarbon 7 (Scheme 6). The hydrolysis products were separated by column chromatography. We were not able to distinguish between the isomers 24 and 25 even by NOE experiments. Oxidation of 24 and 25 either with  $MnO_2$  or  $NiO_2$  resulted in the formation of hydrocarbon 7 (19 and 21%, resp.) and the corresponding dehydrogenation products 26 and 27 in a yield of 65 and 58%, respectively. We assume that some part of the partial-hydrolysis products 24 and 25 undergoes oxidative fragmentation, followed by  $N_2$  extrusion, to give the hydrocarbon 7.

The methodology described in this paper opens up a new entry to the synthesis of hitherto unknown benzo-annelated pinane skeleton. Further work in this field is in progress.

The authors are indebted to the Department of Chemistry (Atatürk University) for financial support of this work and *State Planning Organization of Turkey (DPT)* for purchasing a 200-MHz NMR spectrometer at Atatürk University. We would also like to thank Mr. *Cavit Kazaz* for recording all NMR spectra.

## **Experimental Part**

General. M.p.: determined on a *Thomas Hoover* cap. melting-point apparatus. Infrared IR Spectra: from KBr pellets, on a *Perkin-Elmer 377* spectrophotometer.  $^{1}$ H-NMR Spectra: on a 200-MHz *Varian* spectrometer;  $\delta$  in ppm, SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal standard. Column chromatography (CC): on silica gel (60 mesh) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (*Merck*).

6-Phenyl-4,6,8-triazatetracyclo[9.2.1.0].  $0^{4.8}$ ] tetradeca-2(10),12-diene-5,7-dione (12). To a magnetically stirred soln. of 260 mg (2.2 mmol) of 11 in 20 ml of CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 4-phenyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-dione (500 mg, 2.85 mmol) was added in small portions at r.t. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at r.t. After evaporation of solvent, the residue was purified by CC (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 12 (550 mg, 85%). Colorless crystals from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. M.p. 160–162°. IR (KBr): 3115*m*, 2970*m*, 2860*w*, 1770*s*, 1716*m*, 1693*m*, 1594*m*, 1497*s*, 1422*m*, 1356*m*, 1288*m*, 1239*m*, 1136*m*. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.54–7.31 (*m* 5 arom. H); 6.86 (*t*, H—C(12), H—C(13)); 4.51 (br. d,  $^2J$  = 13.5, 1 H—C(3), 1 H—C(9)); 4.09 (br. d,  $^2J$  = 13.5, 1 H—C(3), 1 H—C(9)); 3.56 (br. *s*, H—C(1), H—C(11)); 2.17 (d, d part of dB system,  $^2J$  = 6.5, H<sub>endo</sub>—C(14) or H<sub>exo</sub>—C(14)); 2.13 (d, dB part of dB system,  $^2J$  = 6.5, H<sub>endo</sub>—C(14) or H<sub>exo</sub>—C(14)); 144.56, 144.30, 133.35, 131.09, 130.00, 127.39 (arom. and olef. C); 74.31 (C(3), C(9)); 52.95 (C(1), C(11)); 47.03 (C(14)).

Photolysis of 12: 6-Phenyl-4,6,8-triazahexacyclo[9.2.1.0<sup>2.10</sup>,0<sup>4.8</sup>,0<sup>2.13</sup>,0<sup>10.12</sup>] tetradecane-5,7-dione (14). A soln. of 550 mg (1.88 mmol) of 12 in 150 ml of acetone was placed into quartz phototube. The magnetically stirred soln. was flushed with N<sub>2</sub> and irradiated at 254 nm for 2.5 h. After evaporation of acetone, the residue was purified by CC (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 14 (356 mg, 65%). Colorless crystals from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. M.p. 185–186°. IR (KBr): 3010m, 2855m, 1765s, 1699m, 1582m, 1488s, 1425s, 1317m, 1270m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.58–7.37 (m, 5 arom. H); 4.06 (d, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 12.8, 1 H–C(3), 1 H–C(9)); 3.89 (d, B part of AB system  $^2J$  = 12.8, 1 H–C(3), 1 H–C(9)); 2.06–1.83 (AB system,  $^2J$  = 11.4, H<sub>endo</sub>–C(14), H<sub>exo</sub>–C(14)); 1.83–1.73 (AB system,  $^3J$  = 4.4, H–C(1), H–C(11), H–(12), H–C(13)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 154.26 (C(5), C(7)); 133.54, 131.09, 129.99, 127.47, (arom. C); 45.65; 34.71; 29.84; 25.62; 21.47.

6-Phenyl-4,6,8-triazapentacyclo[9.6.1.0<sup>2.10</sup>.0<sup>4.8</sup>.0<sup>12.17</sup>] octadeca-2(10),12(17),13,15-tetraene-5,7-dione (17). To a magnetically stirred soln. of 1 g (5.95 mmol) of **16** in 20 ml of CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 4-phenyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-dione (1.1 g, 6.3 mmol) was added in small portions at r.t. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at r.t. CHCl<sub>3</sub> was removed at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> CHCl<sub>3</sub>): **17** (quant. yield, 2.04 g). Crystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane afforded colorless crystals. M. p. 195–197°. IR (KBr): 3080w; 3000m, 2980m, 2910m, 2880m, 1795s, 1600m, 1500s, 1450m, 1410m, 1350m, 1290m, 1240m, 1150m, 1140m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.49–7.33 (m, 5 arom. H), 7.32–6.98 (AA'BB' system, 4 arom. H), 4.54 (d, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 13.6, 1 H–C(3), 1 H–C(9)); 4.08 (d, B part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 7.4,  $^3J$  = 1.5, 1 H–C(11); 2.49 (dt, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 7.4,  $^3J$  = 1.5, 1 H–C(18)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 152.51 (C(5), C(7)); 150.37, 142.73, 131.67, 129.61, 128.56, 125.90, 125.52, 122.09 (arom. and olef. CH); 62.72 (C(3), C(9)); 51.61 (C(1), C(11)); 44.55 (C(18)). Anal. calc. for  $C_{21}$ H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C 73.45, H 4.99; found: C 73.01, H 5.12.

5-Phenyl-3,5,7-triazahexacyclo[8.6.2.0<sup>1.9</sup>.0<sup>3.7</sup>.0<sup>9.17</sup>0<sup>11.16</sup>] octadeca-11(16),12,14-triene-4,6-dione (**18**). A soln. of 2 g (5.83 mmol) of **17** in 150 ml of acetone was placed into quartz phototube. The magnetically stirred soln. was flushed with N<sub>2</sub> and irradiated at 254 nm for 2 h. Evaporation of acetone gave **18**. Colorless crystals (1.85 g, 93%) from Et<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. M.p. 152–153°. IR (KBr): 3040m, 3000m, 2940m, 2900m, 2880m, 1765s, 1700s, 1600, 1490, 1460, 1440, 1400, 1350. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.48–7.09 (m, 9 arom. H); 4.70 (d, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 12.8, 1 H–C(2) or 1 H–C(8)); 4.45 (d, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 11.9, 1 H–C(2) or 1 H–C(8)); 3.95 (d, B part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 12.8, 1 H–C(2) or 1 H–C(8)); 3.83 (d, B part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 11.9, 1 H–C(2) or 1 H–C(8)); 3.50 (dd,  $^3J$  = 7.5,  $^4J$  = 2.4, 1 H–C(10)); 2.85 (dt,  $^2J$  = 9.4,  $^3J$  = 2.4 Hz, 1 H<sub>exo</sub>-C(18)); 2.35 (t,  $^2J$  =  $^4J$  = 2.4, H–C(17)); 0.88 (d,  $^2J$  = 9.4, 1 H<sub>endo</sub>-C(18)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 153.72, 153.45 (C(4), C(6)); 145.36, 140.86, 131.62, 129.62, 128.62, 127.10, 126.54, 125.86, 123.04, 121.21 (arom. C); 54.06; 45.67; 45.38; 41.82; 35.15; 30.69; 29.87. Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C 73.45, H 4.99; found: C 73.76, H 4.89.

8,10-Dimethylidenetricyclo[7.1.1.0<sup>2.7</sup>]undeca-2,4,6-triene (7). A soln. of 18 (2 g, 5.8 mmol) and KOH (5.7 g, 100 mmol) in 28 ml of i-PrOH was refluxed for 15 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to  $-20^{\circ}$  and added to 20 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O. After the mixture was acidified with 0.1 n HCl to pH 3, the sat. soln. of 7.3 g of CuCl<sub>2</sub> in pure H<sub>2</sub>O was added to the mixture and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The org. phase was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and

evaporated. The oily residue was chromatographed (Al $_2$ O $_3$ , CHCl $_3$ /hexane 1:2) to give 7 (254 mg, 26%). IR (film): 3060m, 2980m, 2910m, 1680w, 1480w, 1440w, 1260w, 1090m, 1060m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $_3$ ): 7.71 (m, H-C(6)); 7.26-7.05 (m, 3 arom. H); 5.48 (s, H-C(13)); 4.99 (s, H-C(13)), 4.67 (s, 1 H-C(12 $_a$ ) or 1 H-C(12 $_b$ )), 4.62 (s, 1 H $_a$ -C(12), or 1 H $_b$ -C(12)); 3.77 (dd, d part of d system, d = 5.9, d = 5.7, H-C(1)); 3.69 (dd, d part of d system, d = 5.9, d = 5.7, H-C(9)); 2.48 (dt, d part of d system, d = 8.0, d

Hydrolysis of 18 at Lower Base Concentration. A soln. of 18 (2 g, 5.8 mmol) and KOH (2.8 g, 50 mmol) in 28 ml of i-ProH was refluxed for 5 h, and the reaction mixture was worked up as described above. The oily residue was chromatographed ( $Al_2O_3$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>/hexane 1:2). The first fraction gave 7 (90 mg, 9%). Second fraction was a mixture consisting of partial-hydrolysis products 24 and 25. The isomer mixture was rechromatographed ( $Al_2O_3$  column, CHCl<sub>3</sub>/hexane 2:3). The first fraction was 24 or 25.

N-Phenyl-11,12-diazapentacyclo [6.5.2.0<sup>1.9</sup>.0<sup>2.7</sup>.0<sup>9.14</sup>] pentadeca-2(7),3,5-triene-12-carboxamide (24; 350 mg, 19%). Colorless crystals from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. M.p. 165–166°. IR (KBr): 3220s, 3210s, 3090m, 2920m, 1660s, 1610s, 1590s, 1500s, 1430m, 1350m, 1310m, 1240s, 1120m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.49 (br. s, NHPh); 7.49–6.67 (m, 9 arom. H); 4.73–4.63 (br. s, NH); 4.02 (d, A part of AB system,  $^2J$  = 14.4, 1 H–C(10)); 3.40 (dd, A part of AB system,  $^3J$  = 7.4,  $^4J$  = 2.5, H–C(8)); 3.25 (m, 1 H–C(10), 2 H–C(13)); 2.80 (ddd,  $^2J$  = 9.2,  $^3J$  = 7.4,  $^3J$  = 3.2, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(15)); 2.12 (m, H–C(14)); 0.77 (d,  $^2J$  = 9.2, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(15)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), 155.51 (CO); 149.42, 142.25, 129.42, 129.38, 126.67, 126.07, 123.01, 122.88, 121.26, 119.01 (arom. C); 53.97; 48.08; 45.91; 38.72; 34.88; 29.56. Anal. calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C 79.18, H 6.31; found: C 79.56, H 6.09.

As the second fraction we isolated the isomer N-phenyl-11,12-diazapentacyclo[6.5.2.0<sup>1.9</sup>.0<sup>2.7</sup>.0<sup>8.14</sup>] pentadeca-2(7),3,5-triene-11-carboxamide (25; 700 mg, 38%). Colorless crystals from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane. M.p. 175–176°. IR (KBr): 3310s, 3200s, 3020m, 2820m, 1650s, 1590s, 1500s, 1460s, 1430m, 1330m, 1310m, 1260s, 1210s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.40 (br. s, NHPh); 6.94–7.51 (m, 9 arom. H); 4.95 (br. s, NH); 3.33–3.10 (m, H–C(8), 2 H–C(10), 2 H–C(13)); 2.77 (m, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(15)); 2.16 (m, H–C(14)); 0.82 (d,  $^2J$  = 9.2, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(15)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.22 (CO); 148.69, 142.71, 139.42, 129.29, 126.86, 125.90, 123.46, 123.26, 122.95, 120.81, 119.68, 119.13 (arom. C); 53.81; 46.10; 44.19; 42.87; 35.41; 30.54; 29.78. Anal. calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C 79.18, H 6.31; found: C 79.03, H 6.34.

N-Phenyl-11,12-diazapentacyclo[6.5.2.0<sup>1.9</sup>.0<sup>2.7</sup>.0<sup>9.14</sup>] pentadeca-2(7),3,5,10-tetraene-12-carboxamide (26). Compound 24 (300 mg, 0.96 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. NiO<sub>2</sub> [18] (550 mg, 6.09 mmol) was added in portions during 15 min. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at r.t. The mixture filtered through a short column (silica gel) eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/hexane 2:3. The first fraction gave 7 (30 mg, 19%). Second fraction yielded 26 (195 mg, 65%). Colorless crystals from Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane. M.p. 169–170°. IR (KBr): 3320s, 3040m, 2960m, 1660s, 1580s, 1520s, 1430s, 1360m, 1330m, 1300m, 1220m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.50 (br. s, NHPh); 7.00–7.68 (m, 9 arom. H, 1 olef. H); 4.79 (d,  $^2J$  = 12, 1 H–C(10)); 3.59 (dd,  $^3J$  = 7.3,  $^4J$  = 2.7, H–C(8)); 3.33 (d,  $^2J$  = 12, 1 H–C(10)); 2.92 (ddd,  $^2J$  = 9.8,  $^3J$  = 7.3,  $^3J$  = 2.7, H<sub>exo</sub>-C(15)); 2.57 (t,  $^3J$  =  $^4J$  = 2.6, H–C(14)); 0.85 (d,  $^2J$  = 9.8, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(15)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 154.10 (CO); 147.69, 140.18, 138.95, 138.79, 129.38, 126.94, 126.52, 123.48, 121.72, 119.64 (arom. and olef. C); 59.81; 45.73; 37.46; 36.16; 34.77; 30.02. Anal. calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C 79.71, H 5.68; found: C 79.53, H 5.54.

N-Phenyl-11,12-diazapentacyclo[6.5.2.0<sup>1.9</sup>.0<sup>2.7</sup>.0<sup>9.14</sup>]pentadeca-2(7),3,5,12-tetraene-11-carboxamide (27). Isomer **27** was synthesized from **25** as described above and recrystallized from Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane: 168 mg (56%). M.p. 104–105°. IR (KBr): 3350s, 3030m, 2970m, 2930m, 2850m, 1684s, 1620s, 1580s, 1500s, 1440s, 1370m, 1240m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.50 (br. s, NHPh); 7.00–7.60 (m, 9 arom. H, 1 olef. H); 5.02 (d,  $^2J$  = 12, 1 H–C(13)); 3.72 (dd,  $^3J$  = 7.5,  $^4J$  = 2.3, H–C(8)); 3.67 (d,  $^2J$  = 12, 1 H–C(13)); 3.23 (dd,  $^3J$  = 3.7,  $^4J$  = 2.3, H–C(14)); 2.86 (ddd,  $^2J$  = 10.2,  $^3J$  = 7.5,  $^3J$  = 3.7,  $^4J$  = 0.7, 19.7 (15)); 0.97 (d,  $^2J$  = 10.2,  $^4J$  = 10.2,

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Raphael, in 'Chemistry of Carbon Compounds', Ed. E. Rood, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1953, II A, p. 314.
- [2] D. V. Banthorpe, D. Whittaker, Quart. Rev. 1996, 20, 373.
- [3] D. V. Banthorpe, D. Whittaker, Chem. Rev. 1996, 66, 643.
- [4] M. Kaneda, J. Litaka, S. Shibata, Tetrahedron 1972, 28, 4309.

- [5] G. Komppa, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A 1943, 59, 3. C. A. Kerr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1929, 51, 614.
- [6] M. T. Thomas, A. G. Fallis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 1227.
- [7] P. Yates, R. J. Crawford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1562.
- [8] K. B. Wiberg, B. A. Hess, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 2270.
- [9] E. Wenkert, P. Bakuzis, R. J. Baumgarten, D. Doddrel, P. W. Jeffs, C. L. Leicht, R. A. Mueller, A. Yoshikoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1617; F. Nerdel, D. Frank, H. Marshall, Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 720.
- [10] P. S. Engel, Chem. Rev. 1980, 80, 99; W. Adam, O. de Lucchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 762.
- [11] H. E. Zimmerman, D. Armesto, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 3065; S. S. Hixon, P. S. Mariano, H. E. Zimmerman, ibid. 1973, 73, 531; H. E. Zimmerman, in 'CRC Handbook of Organic Chemistry and Photobiology', Eds. W. M. Horspool and P. S. Song, CRC Press, New York, 1995, pp. 185–193; C. C. Liao, ibid. pp. 194–203.
- [12] T. Toda, T. Ohya, T. Mukai, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 1561; K. Alder, S. Hartung, O. Netz, Chem. Ber. 1957, 90, 1.
- [13] J. M. Coxon, B. Halton, in 'Organic Photochemistry', Cambridge University Press, London, 1974, p 122; T. Suziki, Y. Yamashita, T. Mukai, T. Miyashi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1405.
- [14] B. Atasoy, F. Bayramoglu, T. Hökelek, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 5753. N. Butler, R. A. Snow, Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 256.
- [15] H. E. Zimmerman, R. J. Boettcher, N. E. Buehler, G. E. Keck, M. G. Steinmetz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7680; W. Adam, O. de Lucchi, I. Erden, ibid. 1980, 102, 4806.
- [16] M. Barfield, E. W. Della, P. E. Pigou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5051.
- [17] R. Jösel, G. Schröder, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1980, 1428; U. Burger, Y. Mentha, P. J. Thorel, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 670.
- [18] K. Nakagawa, R. Konaka, T. Nakata, J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 1597.

Received December 15, 1997